

# *A remark on global $W_{1,p}$ bounds of harmonic functions with Lipschitz boundary values*

Article

Accepted Version

Katzourakis, N. (2017) A remark on global  $W_{1,p}$  bounds of harmonic functions with Lipschitz boundary values. Glasnik Matematicki, 52 (1). pp. 107-113. ISSN 1846-7989 doi: <https://doi.org/10.3336/gm.52.1.08> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/67060/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: [https://web.math.pmf.unizg.hr/glasnik/vol\\_52/no1\\_08.html](https://web.math.pmf.unizg.hr/glasnik/vol_52/no1_08.html)

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3336/gm.52.1.08>

Publisher: Croatian Mathematical Society and Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Croatia

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# A REMARK ON GLOBAL $W^{1,p}$ BOUNDS FOR HARMONIC FUNCTIONS WITH LIPSCHITZ BOUNDARY VALUES

NIKOS KATZOURAKIS

University of Reading, UK

ABSTRACT. In this note we show that gradient of Harmonic functions on a smooth domain with Lipschitz boundary values is pointwise bounded by a universal function which is in  $L^p$  for all finite  $p \geq 1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Kellogg in [K] pioneered the study of the boundary behaviour of the gradient of Harmonic functions on a bounded domain. Roughly speaking, he established that in a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  near a boundary region which can be represented as the graph of a planar function, the gradient of any Harmonic function is continuous up to the boundary provided that the gradient of the boundary function and of the Harmonic function are Dini continuous themselves on the boundary. The celebrated theory of Schauder estimates [GT] establishes strong relevant results for general uniformly elliptic PDEs, providing interior and global Hölder bounds for solutions and their derivatives in terms of the Hölder norms of the boundary values of the solution and the right hand side of the PDE. The Schauder theory has been improved and extended by many authors, but typically for second order elliptic PDEs with boundary values of the solutions and right hand sides of the PDEs in the Hölder spaces  $C^{2,\alpha}$  or  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , in order to obtain uniform estimates for the solutions in the respective Hölder spaces.

In [GH] Gilbarg-Hörmander have extended Schauder theory to include hypotheses of lower regularity of the boundary values of the solution, of the boundary of the domain and of the coefficients of the equations. Troianiello [T] relaxed further some conditions of Gilbarg-Hörmander [GH]. In the paper

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31B05, 31B20, 31B25, 35B99.

*Key words and phrases.* Harmonic functions; Dirichlet problem, Schauder estimates.

[HS] Hile-Stanoyevitch, extending an older result of Hardy-Littlewood [HL], proved that the gradient of a Harmonic function with Lipschitz continuous boundary values is pointwise bounded up to a constant by the logarithm of a multiple of the inverse of the distance to the boundary.

However, it appears that in none of these results, even for the special case of the Laplacian, there is an explicit *global bound in  $L^p$*  for the gradient of Harmonic functions which have just Lipschitz boundary values and not  $C^{1,\alpha}$ . In this note establish the following consequence of the result of Hile-Stanoyevitch:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded open set with  $C^2$  boundary. Let also  $g : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $g \in \text{Lip}(\partial\Omega)$ , that is  $g \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$  and*

$$\text{Lip}(g, \partial\Omega) := \sup_{x,y \in \partial\Omega, x \neq y} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{|x - y|} < \infty.$$

1. *There exists a positive function  $f_{\Omega,n} : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  depending on  $\Omega, n$  such that*

$$(1.1) \quad f_{\Omega,n} \in \bigcap_{p \in [1, \infty)} L^p(\Omega) \cap C^0(\Omega)$$

*and if  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  is the Harmonic function solving*

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

*then we have the estimate*

$$(1.3) \quad |Du(x)| \leq \text{Lip}(g, \partial\Omega) f_{\Omega,n}(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

2. *Let  $(g^m)_1^\infty \subseteq \text{Lip}(\partial\Omega)$  satisfy for some  $C > 0$*

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Lip}(g^m, \partial\Omega) + \max_{\partial\Omega} |g^m| \leq C, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Let also  $(u^m)_1^\infty \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  be the Harmonic functions solving*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u^m = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u^m = g^m, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Then,  $(u^m)_1^\infty$  is strongly precompact in  $\bigcap_{p=1}^\infty W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and if*

$$(1.6) \quad g^{m_k} \rightarrow g \quad \text{in } C^0(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then there is a unique limit point  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  of the subsequence  $(u^{m_k})_1^\infty$  such that along perhaps a further subsequence*

$$(1.7) \quad u^{m_k} \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall p \geq 1, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

*and the limit function  $u$  solves*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The motivation to derive the above integrability result and its consequences comes from certain recent advances in generalised solutions of non-linear PDE and vectorial Calculus of Variations in the space  $L^\infty$  ([Ka4] and [Ka2, Ka3]). The vectorial counterparts of Harmonic functions provide useful energy comparison maps since they are "stable" in  $L^p$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$ .

## 2. PROOFS

Our notation is either self-explanatory or otherwise standard as e.g. in [E], [Ka]. The starting point of our proof is the following estimate of Hile-Stanoyevitch: under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, the gradient  $Du$  of a Harmonic function  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  which solves (1.2) with  $g \in \text{Lip}(\partial\Omega)$  satisfies the logarithmic estimate

$$(2.8) \quad |Du(x)| \leq C(\Omega, n) \text{Lip}(g, \partial\Omega) \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} \right), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

for some  $C$  depending just on  $\Omega$  (and the dimension). In (2.8),  $\text{diam}(\Omega)$  is the diameter of the domain and  $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$  the distance of  $x$  from the boundary:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(\Omega) &:= \sup \{|x - y| : x, y \in \Omega\}, \\ \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) &:= \inf \{|x - z| : z \in \partial\Omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of 1. of Theorem 1.1.** Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  smaller than the diameter of  $\Omega$  and consider the inner open  $\varepsilon$  neighbourhood of  $\Omega$ :

$$\Omega^\varepsilon := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \varepsilon\}.$$

It is well known that (see e.g. [GT])

$$\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad |D \text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega)| = 1, \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega.$$

Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . By the Co-Area formula (see e.g. [[EG], Proposition 3, p. 118]) applied to the function

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto \chi_{\Omega^\varepsilon}(x) \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} \right) \right)^p \in \mathbb{R}$$

(where  $\chi_{\Omega^\varepsilon}$  is the characteristic function of  $\Omega^\varepsilon$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad & \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} \right) \right)^p dx = \\ & = \int_\varepsilon^{\text{diam}(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\{\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega)=t\}} \frac{\left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega)} \right) \right)^p}{|D \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega)|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(z) \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  is the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. By using (2.9), (2.10) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} \right) \right)^p dx &= \\ &= \int_\varepsilon^{\text{diam}(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\{\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega)=t\}} \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega)} \right) \right)^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(z) \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

Further, since

$$\text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega) = t, \text{ for all } z \in \{\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega) = t\},$$

by setting

$$(2.11) \quad I^{\varepsilon,p} := \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} \right) \right)^p dx$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad I^{\varepsilon,p} &= \int_\varepsilon^{\text{diam}(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\{\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega)=t\}} \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{t} \right) \right)^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(z) \right) dt \\ &= \int_\varepsilon^{\text{diam}(\Omega)} \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{t} \right) \right)^p \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\{\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega) = t\}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the regularity of the boundary, standard results regarding the equivalence between the Hausdorff measure and the Minkowski content for rectifiable sets (see e.g. [[AFP], Section 2.13, Theorem 2.106]) imply that there is a  $C = C(\Omega)$  such that

$$\text{ess sup}_{0 < t < \text{diam}(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\{\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega) = t\}) \leq C(\Omega)$$

and hence the inequality (2.12) gives

$$(2.13) \quad I^{\varepsilon,p} \leq C(\Omega) \int_\varepsilon^{\text{diam}(\Omega)} \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{t} \right) \right)^p dt.$$

By the change of variables

$$\omega := \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{t}$$

we can rewrite the estimate (2.13) as

$$I^{\varepsilon,p} \leq C(\Omega) \text{diam}(\Omega) \int_1^{\text{diam}(\Omega)/\varepsilon} \frac{(\ln \omega)^p}{\omega^2} d\omega$$

and by enlarging perhaps the constant  $C(\Omega)$ , we rewrite this as

$$(2.14) \quad I^{\varepsilon,p} \leq C(\Omega) \int_1^{\text{diam}(\Omega)/\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\ln \omega}{\omega^{2/p}} \right)^p d\omega.$$

We now claim that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I^{\varepsilon,p} \leq C(\Omega, n, p) < \infty.$$

Indeed, by using the following known property of the Gamma function

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\ln^p x}{x^2} dx = \Gamma(1+p)$$

we readily conclude.

By (2.11) we have that there is a constant  $C(\Omega, n, p)$  depending only on  $\Omega, n, p$  such that

$$(2.15) \quad \int_\Omega \left( \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} \right) \right)^p dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I^{\varepsilon,p} \leq C(\Omega, n, p).$$

By combining (2.15) with (2.8), we see that by setting

$$f_{\Omega,n}(x) := C(\Omega, n) \ln \left( \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} \right), \quad x \in \Omega$$

item 1. of Theorem 1.1 is established.  $\square$

**Proof of 2. of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $u^m$  solve (1.5). By standard interior bounds on the derivatives of Harmonic functions in terms of their boundary values (see e.g. [GT]) and (1.4), we have that the Hessians  $(D^2 u^m)_1^\infty$  are bounded in  $C^0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ , that is uniformly over the compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . The same is true for the 3rd order derivatives as well; thus, for any  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ , there is  $C(\Omega')$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 \|D^k u^m\|_{C^0(\Omega')} \leq C(\Omega') \|u^m\|_{C^0(\Omega)}$$

and by the Maximum Principle we have

$$\|u^m\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} |g^m| \leq C.$$

As a consequence,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |D^k u^m(x) - D^k u^m(y)| &\leq C(\Omega') |x - y|, \\ |D^k u^m(x)| &\leq C(\Omega'), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad x, y \in \Omega', \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, the sequence

$$(u^m, Du^m, D^2 u^m)_{m=1}^\infty$$

is precompact uniformly over the compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . Again by (1.4), we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |g^m(x) - g^m(y)| &\leq C|x - y|, \\ |g^m(x)| &\leq C, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad x, y \in \partial\Omega, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

which gives that  $(g^m)_1^\infty$  is bounded and equicontinuous on  $\partial\Omega$ . Thus, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem and by the lower semicontinuity of the Lipschitz seminorm with respect to uniform convergence, there is a subsequence  $(g^{m_k})_1^\infty$  and  $g \in \text{Lip}(\partial\Omega)$  such that

$$g^{m_k} \longrightarrow g, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } C^0(\partial\Omega).$$

Along perhaps a further subsequence, by the above bounds on  $(u^m)_1^\infty \subseteq C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ , there is  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{cases} u^{m_k} \longrightarrow u, & \text{in } C^0(\Omega), \\ Du^{m_k} \longrightarrow Du, & \text{in } C^0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n), \\ D^2u^{m_k} \longrightarrow D^2u, & \text{in } C^0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}), \end{cases}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . By passing to the limit in the equation  $\Delta u^m = 0$  we get that  $\Delta u = 0$ . Since the measure of  $\Omega$  is finite, for any  $p \in [1, \infty)$  by Hölder inequality we have that

$$\|u^m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq |\Omega|^{1/p} \left( \|u^m\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \right) \leq C(\Omega, p).$$

By item (1.) of the theorem and by (1.4), we have that

$$\|Du^m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega, n, p).$$

Hence, we have the bound

$$\|u^m\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega, n, p), \quad p \geq 1.$$

By the Morrey embedding theorem, by choosing  $p > n$  we have that  $(u^{m_k})_1^\infty$  is precompact in  $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  and hence by (2.16) we have that

$$(2.17) \quad u^{m_k} \longrightarrow u, \quad \text{in } C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,  $u = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and as a consequence  $u$  solves the limit Dirichlet problem. Finally, if  $E \subseteq \Omega$  is a measurable subset, by the Hölder inequality we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |Du^m(x)|^p dx &\leq |E|^{1-\frac{p}{p+1}} \left( \int_E |Du^m(x)|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\ &= |E|^{1-\frac{p}{p+1}} \left( \|Du^m\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} \right)^p \\ &\leq |E|^{1-\frac{p}{p+1}} C(\Omega, n, p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the sequence of gradients  $(Du^{m_k})_1^\infty$  is  $p$ -equi-integrable on  $\Omega$ . By (2.16), we have

$$Du^{m_k} \longrightarrow Du \quad \text{in measure on } \Omega, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\Omega$  has finite measure, the Vitali Convergence theorem (e.g. [FL]) implies that

$$Du^{m_k} \longrightarrow Du \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$



Item 2. of Theorem 1.1 has been established.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author would like to thank the referees of this paper most warmly for the careful reading of the manuscript and for providing thought-through alternative simpler proofs of certain of the original arguments.

#### REFERENCES

- [AFP] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, *Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 1st Edition, 2000.
- [E] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, AMS, Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 19, 1998.
- [EG] L.C. Evans, R. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Studies in advanced mathematics, CRC press, 1992.
- [FL] I. Fonseca, G. Leoni, *Modern methods in the Calculus of Variations:  $L^p$  spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2007.
- [GH] D. Gilbarg, L. Hörmander, *Intermediate Schauder estimates*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., Vol. 74 (1980), 297-318.
- [GT] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1983.
- [HL] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Theorems concerning mean values of analytic or harmonic functions*, Quart. J. of Math. (Oxford) 3, 221-256 (1932).
- [HS] G. Hile, A. Stanoyevitch, *Gradient bounds for harmonic functions Lipschitz on the boundary*, Applicable Analysis 73, Issue 1-2 (1999).
- [Ka] N. Katzourakis, *An Introduction to viscosity Solutions for Fully Nonlinear PDE with Applications to Calculus of Variations in  $L^\infty$* , Springer Briefs in Mathematics, 2015, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-12829-0.
- [Ka2] N. Katzourakis, *Generalised solutions for fully nonlinear PDE systems and existence-uniqueness theorems*, ArXiv preprint, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1501.06164.pdf>.
- [Ka3] N. Katzourakis, *A New Characterisation of  $\infty$ -Harmonic and  $p$ -Harmonic Mappings via Affine Variations in  $L^\infty$* , ArXiv preprint, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1509.01811.pdf>.
- [Ka4] N. Katzourakis, *Existence of Vectorial Absolute Minimisers in Calculus of Variations in  $L^\infty$* , manuscript in preparation.
- [K] O. D. Kellogg, *On derivatives of harmonic functions at the boundary*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 33 (1931), 486-510.
- [T] G. M. Troianiello, *Estimates of the Caccioppoli-Schauder type in weighted function spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 334 (1992), 551-573.

Nikos Katzourakis  
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
 University of Reading  
 Whiteknights, PO Box 220  
 Reading RG6 6AX  
 United Kingdom